UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Southern District of Indiana

United States of America)
Date of Original Judgment: Date of Previous Amended Judgment: (Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any)) Case No: 3:04CR00017-005 and 3:04CR00018-02 USM No: 07593-028) Juval Scott Defendant's Attorney
	MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION ANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)
Upon motion of the defendant \$\) § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imp subsequently been lowered and made retroacti § 994(u), and having considered such motion,	the Director of the Bureau of Prisons the court under 18 U.S.C. risonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has we by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is: DENIED. GRANTED and the last judgment issued) of	e defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in months is reduced to .
(Complete F	arts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted)
A CERTIFIED TRUE Of Laura A. Briggs, Clerk U.S. District Court Southern District of Indiana By M. Deputy	De Connect of the contract of
Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of IT IS SO ORDERED. Order Date: 02/15/2012	f the judgment dated shall remain in effect. Judge's signature
Effective Date: *	The Honorable Richard L. Young
(if different from order date)	Printed name and title

^{*} Unless otherwise indicated, the effective date of this order shall be ten (10) days after order date.

Addendum to Order Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)
Cause No. 3:04CR17 & 3:04CR18
Defendant Dennis Turner
As directed by 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2), the Court has considered the relevant factors in U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10(b) and 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), and determined a sentence reduction is not appropriate for the following reason(s):
1) The defendant is not eligible for a reduction because the amendments listed in subsection (c) of U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10 are not applicable to the defendant.
✓ 2) The amendment is listed in subsection (c) of U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10 and is applicable to the defendant, but it does not have the effect of lowering the defendant's applicable guideline range (e.g. a statutory mandatory minimum term of imprisonment, binding plea agreement, career offender status).
3) The defendant is eligible for a reduction under this amendment, but the Court has determined such a reduction is not appropriate because of the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community that may be posed by a reduction in sentence. (Application Note 1(B) of U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10.)
4) The defendant is eligible for a reduction under this amendment. However, the Court has determined the post-sentencing conduct demonstrates the defendant may pose a danger to any person or the community by a reduction in sentence. (Application Note 1(B) of U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10.)
The Court determined the defendant was a Career Offender within the meaning of U.S.S.G. § 3C1.1.